Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 10/13/2015, 10/14/2015, 10/15/2015	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Champaign	·
Location of Audit: Champaign	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction P	erformed By:
1215 English Oak Drive, Champaign, Illinoi	S	Ameren Illinois C	Sas Company Crew
Contractor Foreman:	No contractor was at this j	ob site.	
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy, Quality Assurance		
	Person(s) On Jo	ob Site	
Zach Henderson	Dillion Linto	on	

Description of Construction:

Ameren Illinois crew was installing a new service line into 1215 English Oak Drive, in Champaign, Illinois. The service line was a 1/2 inch Driscoplex plastic line, ASTM D-2513, PE 2406/2708, .090 wall, with the service line being air tested to 102 pounds for 10 minutes, with no leaks being found.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	•	•
There was no steel pipe installed	during this audit.	
[192.59]	PE	Yes
General Comment:	,	
	Priscoplex plastic pipe.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	Other	No
General Comment:		1
No other pipe was installed during this audit.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60# MAOP
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		<u> </u>
All components were qualified for use on this job.		
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1/2 inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	Driscoplex pipe
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	.090 wall
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		1
All pipe and fittings were marked at this job site.		
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	No welder
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	No welder
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		•
The service line and tapping tee were completed accord	lingly to the O&M procedures.	
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	4-20-15
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Dillion Linton
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		<u> </u>
No buried metallic pipe in the area of this installation.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
This is an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
This is an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is an all plastic gas system.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The gas caring riser was clean and coated.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No metallic structures in the area of this pipe installation.		
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Dirt was placed over the service line before back-filling w	vas conducted.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A plastic sleeve was installed at the service line installati	ion at the tee to minimize shear and tensile forces.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Tracer wire was installed into the ditch with the service li	ine for locating means.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line was installed to a depth of at least 24 in	ches from the top of the pipe, to ground level.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service line was air tested to 102 pour	ands for 10 minutes, with no leaks being found at the time of installation.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Strength and leak test records were being	g maintained by the crew leader at the time of installation.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Operator qualification records were review	wed for each employee at this job site.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified personnel was on this jo	b during the service installation.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
No non-qualified personnel was on this jo	b during the service installation.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Com	pleted?	No
General Comment:		
A protocol 9 was not performed during the	is audit.	
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren Illinois had a Julie one call notific	ation at the job site.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	A0027500660
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren Illinois installed an EFV flow valv	e at the service connection to the tapping tee.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
	cidental ignition at the job site.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.